

NABARD CONSULTANCY SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

QUERIES RAISED IN THE PRE-BID MEETING HELD ON 04 MAY 2020 IN CONNECTION WITH RFP FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF FOOD PARK AT GANGAIKONDAN

1. Whether DPR or Feasibility Report has been prepared for the said project?

Yes.

2. Please confirm the status of land, whether it is with Promoters/Implementing Agency/TNSAMB and is it industrial land?

The land has been allotted to TNSAMB by SIPCOT on long-term lease for 99 years.

3. Please confirm the proposed estimated project cost and means of finance of the project.

Estimated project cost is Rs. 76.03 crore

Means of Finance is as follows:

a. Equity : Rs. 25.09 crore

b. Term Loan: Rs. 20.99 crore

c. Grant : Rs. 29.95 crore

4. Please confirm the timeline for completion of the project.

The timeline is tentatively 24 months or co-terminus with the completion of works at site for the project.

5. What is the status of approval of the project from Ministry of Food Processing Industries (MoFPI), GOI, New Delhi and from concerned Government authority of Tamil Nadu ?, Also mention the concerned authority of Tamil Nadu State Government for the said approvals.

The project is notified by the Ministry of Food Processing Industries as Food Park in GO dated 05.02.2020. The project is being executed by the Tamil Nadu State Agricultural Marketing Board

6. Whether the project will be implemented under the scheme of Food Park (earlier scheme) or Mega Food Park Scheme?

It is notified as Food Park

7. Whether core facilities and other project components are finalized as mentioned in the RFP, or the Consultant can suggest additional facilities or changes in the same?

The modifications may be suggested during the course of the designing etc., however they must comply with the requirement of the Department and also the Ministry scheme.

8. On page no. 4 &5, warehouse has been shown as non-core infrastructure, however it should be in core facilities and Effluent Treatment Plant has been shown in core infrastructure, which should be in basic infrastructure as per MOFPI norms.

Yes, warehouse will be under core infrastructure and ETP is a basic enabling infrastructure. Changes included in the corrigendum

9. On page no. 5, point no. 4, 2 Admin Block, Guest House, Farmers Guest House, Shop for Traders etc. is mentioned as Basic Infrastructure, which is actually non-core infrastructure as per MOFPI norms.

Changes have been included in corrigendum accordingly.

10. On page no. 7, point no. 12 & 13 are separated which is the same point, same is the case with point no. 14 & 15 and point no. 25 & 26.

Yes it is a typographical error. Changes included in corrigendum.

11. On page no. 8, point no. 3,1 'design, vetting of the designs by academic institutions to be decided in course of implementation' has been mentioned, so which are these academic institutions ? All the designs will be vetted by concerned Government Authorities during various clearances, so whether vetting by academic institutions is necessary. Because it will take additional time for vetting. If vetting is necessary then the fees for the same will be paid by the Client/NABCONS or the Consultant?

Yes, vetting by academic institutions is necessary. The cost for the same does not have to be paid by the engineering agency.

12. On page no. 5, there is mention of EIA report, social Impact and environmental impact assessment. Whether consultancy services for EIA and other clearances are included in the scope of work?

Yes, the SI and EIA are included in the scope of work. Further, the agency will have to assist the client in preparation of documents, familiarising with the procedure, assist and join them during visits to the office for taking statutory approvals etc., (Pre and Post establishment).

13. On page no. 8 point no. 3 a, there is mention of architecture plus structural engineering support in case of changes in site and changes in drawings and other details. There should be a mention of maximum number of changes or revisions in drawings and other details.

A maximum of 5 revisions may be considered.

14. On page no. 9, it is mentioned that – 'Key experts / personal will be required to be posted at site for the entire duration of the project from start of construction activities, till completion and trial run of the project units'. Whether it is necessary that all of these 10 experts are required to be posted at site till completion and trial run of the project

units? Also please change – ‘till completion and trial run of the project units’ to ‘till completion and trial run of the Food Park project’.

The mandatory location requirements are included in the corrigendum. It is till completion and trial run of food park project, the same has been included in the corrigendum.

15. On page no. 11, it is mentioned that – *‘The bidder shall have satisfactorily completed consultancy for at least three similar works each costing not less than Rs. 20 Crores (total project cost) food processing centers/ food grain storage structures during last seven years as on publish date of this RFP under Central / State Govt. or PSUs in the same name of firm under which application is made.’* Whether preparation of DPR/TEV/LIE will be considered as similar works? Also whether projects of sugar industry will be considered under this criteria. Also please consider Private Companies and Co-operatives (as Clients of the Applicants/bidders), along with Central / State Govt. or PSUs for this criteria.

The modified criteria has been included in the corrigendum.

16. Please confirm that, bringing investors for the proposed food processing units is out of scope of this tender.

Bringing investors is not under the scope of the engineering firm. However, participation in the Investors Meet/s, by invitation, is within the scope of work.

17. On page no. 15, there is mention that – ‘The engaged Engineering Agency is expected to attend 2424 meetings (one meeting every fortnight) with NABCONS and TNSAMB’. Please confirm and clarify the same.

The engineering firm is expected to attend the meeting with NABCONS and TNSAMB as and when required.

18. Please allow online payment/NEFT of EMD of Rs. 30,000 /- considering the lockdown and please mention the details required for NEFT for the same.

The change is being made and the details are provided in the corrigendum.

19. Whether Associate experts / consultants, not on the payroll of the Company/Applicant are allowed as Team Members?

The consultants must be associated with the company for atleast past 3 years and should be taken on payroll for the term of this project.

20. Please allow consortium / JV for the said tender / assignment.

Consortium and JV is permitted.

21. Please allow online submission of Proposals, as hard copies/courier may not reach in time due to lockdown situation.

Online submission is permitted for the technical proposal alone. Please refer to the corrigendum for the process for the same.

22. Please extend the timeline considering lockdown situation.

The same has been extended and Corrigendum has the details.

SS Infra Dev Consultants

1. Please confirm estimated Project cost is Rs. 70 Crores.

Tentative project cost is Rs. 76.03 crore.

2. Design Services and Tender Doc preparation and cost estimation will be provided from our office. Personnel required for PMC during construction period is very high and includes design personnel. We suggest the PMC personnel be limited to 2 site engineers and 1 project manager.

The requirement for this has been specified in the corrigendum.

3. Eligibility criteria may please be modified as per CVC guidelines – 3 projects of 40% value OR Two projects of 50% value OR one project of 80% value of the project cost.

The criteria has been modified in the corrigendum.

4. Similar Work definition may please be modified to include Pharmaceutical, Chemical and other process buildings.

As the present project is related to food processing sector, we have considered food sector. Ongoing and completed works will be considered and hence the same may be included.

5. Please give more clarity on scope of services related to all statutory approvals such as prof drawings, reports, Liaison and Statutory fees payment by owner directly to statutory authorities.

Yes, the firm will have to assist the client in preparation of documents, familiarising with the procedure, assist and join them during visits to the office for taking statutory approvals etc., (Pre and Post establishment). The payment of fees for the approvals will not have to be made by the Engineering Agency.

6. EMD exemption may please be allowed for MSME having Udyog Aadhaar Registration Certificate with Udyog Aadhaar Memorandum number.

The exemption for EMD has not been considered.

7. Last date for submission may please be extended atleast upto 30th May as restrictions are still in force at many locations.

The last date has been extended and the same is mentioned in the Corrigendum.

8. Key Experts - Sl No. 6, Geo Tech Engineer Min qualif. need to be modified as B. Tech / M. Tech in Geo Technical Engineering and not Geology as given.

The modification has been considered in the Corrigendum.

1. Page No. 11 of RFP, Evaluation Sheet [C]:

It is mentioned that “the bidder shall have satisfactorily completed consultancy for at least three similar works each costing not less than Rs. 20 Crores (total project cost) food processing centers/ food grain storage structures during last seven years as on publish date of this RFP under Central / State”.

Please let us know whether One similar work costing not less than Rs. 40 crores (total project cost) food processing centers/ food grain storage structures during last seven years

(or)

Two similar works each costing not less than Rs. 30 crores (total project cost) food processing centers/ food grain storage structures during last seven years shall be considered.

Changes have been included in the corrigendum.

2. Page no. 13 of RFP, Evaluation Sheet [I]:

Please let us know whether the presentation which comprise of 15 marks as mentioned in the evaluation sheet has to be submitted along with the Technical bid.

Yes presentation is to be submitted with technical bid.

3. Page No. 18 of RFP, Clause 2.13 (a) 1:

It is mentioned that “the bidder should be a registered company (under the Indian Companies Act) operating in India for at least the past 7 years”. We presume the bidder which is a registered partnership firm with MSME certification can also apply. Please confirm.

Yes. The agency should be a registered entity with Government of Tamil Nadu or Govt. of India authority.

4. Page No. 18 of RFP, Clause 2.13 (b):

We kindly request you that the payment of EMD can be mode of online instead of Physical submission of Demand Draft. Also, we kindly request you to permit only online submission of proposal instead of Physical submission of Hard copy.

Online payment details included in the corrigendum.

5. Page No. 21 of RFP, Clause 5.5 – Payment Schedule:

We respectfully request your goodself to include 10% of payment on submission of GFC drawings after the milestone “selection of successful bidder”.

S.No	Services	Percentage of Payments
1	Advance against award of contract	10%
2	Field surveys and submission of Master Plan	5%
3	Detailed Engineering Services of Core, Non Core and Basic Enabling infrastructure	7.5%
4	Preparation of Tender document for all works	7.5%
5	Selection of Successful Bidder	10%
6	On submission of GFC drawings	10%

7	Completion of construction of Basic Enabling Infrastructure Internal Roads, Master plan layouts and Basic amenities	15%
8	Completion of development of plots and Non-Core infrastructure including, civil, MEP services, etc e	15%
9	Completion of development of Core Infrastructure Buildings, civil MEP, Refrigeration, etc	10%
10	Successful Trial Run completion of the Project	10%

Changes included in the corrigendum.